

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/046,745	01/17/2002	Elad Barkan	P-9485-US	2529	
7590 09/15/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Eitan Law Group			PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY		
C/O LandonIP, Suite 450	Inc.	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1700 Diagonal Road			2132		
Alexandria, VA 22314			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/046,745	BARKAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Venkat Perungavoor	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	July.2006					
	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,	·				
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>14-28</u> is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>14-28</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/046,745

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/30/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 8-14, filed 7/30/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 14-28 under 35 USC § 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of U.S Patent 5604804 to Micali.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 14-19, 21-24,26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5604804 to Micali.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,745

Art Unit: 2132

5. Regarding Claim 14, 22, Micali discloses the implementing in device a document issuing policy of the CA see Col 2 Ln 17-31; reading into device a certified document associated with user see Col 3 Ln 13-28; generating of behalf of CA a new certified document based on read document see Col 6 Ln 14-26.

Page 3

- 6. Regarding Claim 15, Micali discloses the identity of device in form of digital signature stored with intermediate CA see Col 4 Ln 18-42.
- 7. Regarding Claim 16, 24, Micali discloses the policy attests to personal identifying information of user see Col 6 Ln 28-39.
- Regarding Claim 17, Micali discloses the certified document being output thorough a secure channel see Col 5 Ln 20-36.
- Regarding Claim 18, Micali discloses the digital documents being certificates and permits see Col 5 Ln 37-45.
- 10. Regarding Claim 19 and 21, 26, Micali discloses the signing of certificates and authorities along the path see Col 6 Ln 14-26.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,745 Page 4

Art Unit: 2132

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5604804 to Micali in view of U.S Patent 5872848 to Romney et al.
- 13. Regarding Claim 20 and 25, Micali does not disclose the biometric unit being used. However, Romney discloses the biometric unit being used see Fig. 2 item 250. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the biometric unit in the invention of Micali in order to provide for additional security feature to document.
- 14. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5604804 to Micali in view of U.S Patent 5721781 to Deo.
- 15. Regarding Claim 27 and 28, Micali does not disclose the wristwatch and smart card device. However, Deo discloses the wristwatch and smart card device see Col 4 Ln 21- 35. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2132

time of the invention to include the wristwatch and smart card device in the invention of Micali in order to use conventional electronics.

Conclusion

- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkat Perungavoor whose telephone number is 571-272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Venkat Perungavoor

Examiner Art Unit 2132

//9/11/2006

GILBERTO BARRON JR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100